

**Supplement Material of “A Privacy-Preserving Distributed Subgradient Algorithm for the Economic Dispatch Problem in Smart Grid”**

Qian Xu, Chutian Yu, Xiang Yuan, Zao Fu, and Hongzhe Liu

**Proof of Theorem 1:** According to iteration (10), it follows that, for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , one has that  $\|\tau(k+1) - \lambda\|^2 = \|\tau(k) - \frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{K_j}(k) - \lambda\|^2$ , from which we immediately derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau(k+1) - \lambda\|^2 &\leq \|\tau(k) - \lambda\|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{K_j}^T(k)(\tau(k) - \lambda) + \frac{\alpha^2}{m^2} \sum_{j=1}^m \|\partial_{K_j}(k)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

To proceed, we consider the term  $-\frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{K_j}^T(k)(\tau(k) - \lambda)$  with

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{K_j}^T(k)(\tau(k) - \lambda) \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \|\partial_{K_j}^T(k)\| \|\tau(k) - \lambda^j(k)\| - \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{K_j}^T(k)(\lambda^j(k) - \lambda) \right). \end{aligned}$$

According to the definition of subgradient, it also holds that

$$K_j(\lambda^j(k)) - K_j(\lambda) \geq -\|\partial_{K_j}^T(k)\| \|\lambda^j(k) - \tau(k)\| + K_j(\tau) - K_j(\lambda)$$

thus we can further obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{K_j}^T(k)(\tau(k) - \lambda) \leq \frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m (\|\partial_{K_j}^T(k)\| \\ &\quad + \|\partial_{K_j}^T(k)\|) \|\lambda^j(k) - \tau(k)\| - \frac{\alpha}{m} (K_j(\tau(k)) - K_j(\lambda)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (11) holds.  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of Theorem 2:** 1) Using (10), we can obviously establish the following equation:  $\tau(k) = \tau(0) - \frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \partial_{K_j}(s)$ . Subtracting it with (9), one can derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\tau(k) - \lambda^i(k)\| \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |\lambda^j(0)| \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \left| [\mathbf{W}(k-1:0)]_j^i - \frac{1}{m} \right| \right) + \phi \\ &\quad + \alpha \sum_{r=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \frac{1}{m} - [\mathbf{W}(k-1:r)]_j^i \right| \|\partial_{K_j}(r-1)\| \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \|\partial_{K_j}(k-1) - \partial_{K_i}(k)\| \\ &= \frac{2\alpha(R+Q)m(1+\kappa^{-N_0})}{1-\kappa^{-N_0}} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (1-\kappa^{-N_0})^{\frac{k-1-s}{N_0}} + 2\alpha Q + \phi \\ &\leq 2\alpha Q \left( 1 + \frac{R+Q}{Q} \frac{m(1+\kappa^{-N_0})}{1-\kappa^{-N_0}} \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} (1-\kappa^{-N_0})^{\frac{k-s-1}{N_0}} \right) + \phi \\ &= 2\alpha QR_1 + \phi \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof for 1).

2) By using (11), it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau(k+1) - \lambda^*\|^2 &\leq \|\tau(k) - \lambda^*\|^2 + \frac{2\alpha}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m (\|\partial_{K_j}^T(k)\| \\ &\quad + \|\partial_{K_j}^T(k)\|) \|\lambda^j(k) - \tau(k)\| - \frac{2\alpha}{m} [K(\tau(k)) - K^*] + \frac{\alpha^2}{m^2} Q^2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tau(k+1) - \lambda^*\|^2 &\leq \|\tau(k) - \lambda^*\|^2 + 4\alpha^2 QR_1 \\ &\quad + m\phi - \frac{2\alpha}{m} [K(\tau(k)) - K^*] + \frac{\alpha^2}{m^2} Q^2. \end{aligned}$$

From above inequality, one can obtain the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} K(\tau(k)) - K^* &\leq \frac{-\|\tau(k+1) - \lambda^*\|^2 + \|\tau(k) - \lambda^*\|^2}{\frac{2\alpha}{m}} \\ &\quad + \frac{4\alpha^2 QR_1 + m\alpha^2 Q^2 + m^2 \phi}{2\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed to plus above inequality from  $k=0, 1, \dots, k$  and multiply  $1/k$ , as a consequence, we have that

$$K(\hat{\tau}(k)) \leq \frac{m\|\tau(0) - \lambda^*\|^2}{2k\alpha} + \frac{4\alpha^2 QR_1 + m\alpha^2 Q^2 + m^2 \phi}{2\alpha}.$$

In the sequel, we consider the estimate for  $K(\hat{\lambda}^i(k))$ , for which, according to the definition of subgradient, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} K(\hat{\lambda}^i(k)) &\leq K(\hat{\tau}(k)) + \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{\partial}_{i,j}(k)(\hat{\lambda}^i(k) - \hat{\tau}(k)) \\ &\leq K(\hat{\tau}(k)) + mQ \sum_{s=0}^{k-1} \frac{\|\lambda^i(s) - \tau(s)\|}{k} \\ &\leq \frac{m\|\tau(0) - \lambda^*\|^2}{2k\alpha} + \frac{\alpha^2 Q(4R_1 + mQ) + m^2 \phi}{2\alpha} + t \end{aligned}$$

with  $t = mQ(2\alpha QR_1 + \phi)$ .  $\blacksquare$

**Proof of Theorem 3:** According to the multi-agent iteration (3) and the updating mechanism (7), we know that the real exchanging information over the network is the noised state  $\bar{\lambda}^i(k)$  at every time instant.

We assume that the observing time window of eavesdroppers is  $[0, n^*]$  with  $n^* > \bar{t}^*$ . The information obtained by it can be listed by the following equations:

$$\bar{\lambda}^i(k+1) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^m [\mathbf{W}(k:s)]_j^i \lambda^j(s) + \sum_{r=s}^k \sum_{j=1}^m [\mathbf{W}(k:r)]_j^i o_j(r) + o_i(k+1) \\ \quad - \alpha \sum_{r=s+1}^k \sum_{j=1}^m [\mathbf{W}(k:r)]_j^i \partial_{K_j}(r-1) - \alpha \partial_{K_i}(k), \quad k < \bar{t}^* \\ \sum_{j=1}^m [\mathbf{W}(k:s)]_j^i \lambda^j(s) + \sum_{r=s}^k \sum_{j=1}^m [\mathbf{W}(k:r)]_j^i o_j(r) + o_i(k+1) \\ \quad - \alpha \sum_{r=s+1}^{\bar{t}^*} \sum_{j=1}^m [\mathbf{W}(k:r)]_j^i \partial_{K_j}(r-1), \quad \bar{t}^* \leq k \leq n^*. \end{cases}$$

By Assumption 3, we assume that for agent  $i$  its neighbor  $k_i$  is honest. Thus, in above polynomial equation, the unknown information is  $\{\lambda^{k_i}(s), s=1, 2, \dots, n^*\}$ ,  $\{o_{k_i}(s), s=1, 2, \dots, n^*\}$ , and  $\{\partial_{K_{k_i}}(s), s=1, 2, \dots, \bar{t}^*\}$ . There are  $2n^* + \bar{t}^*$  unknown variables, thus we cannot infer any parameter from above equation, according to the solving approach of polynomials.  $\blacksquare$

**Conclusion:** In this letter, a subgradient algorithm is proposed based on multi-agent consensus, by which an economic dispatch problem in the smart grids is considered for application to realize the minimal generating cost. The effect of hyper-parameters especially the step size on the convergence of the objective function are explored, deepening our understanding of the convergence properties of the gradient method.